Abstract

With the test-beam-line it is possible to measure the two transverse phase-spaces and the temporal distribution of the electron bunches. It is also possible to investigate the emittance close to the source. The beam-line components will be introduced and a selection of the results will be presented.

INTRODUCTION

MESA will be a multi-turn Energy Recovery Linac (ERL) which can be operated in two different modes. An ERL Mode (105 MeV) or an External Beam (EB) Mode (155 MeV) [1]. The source will be a 100 kV dc photo gun which delivers polarized electrons with a current of 150 μA and an unpolarized electron beam with a beam current of 1 mA in stage-1 and 10 mA in stage-2. The goal is to operate in c.w.-mode which means a bunch charge ($Q_b$) of 0.8 pC in stage-1 and 8 pC in stage-2. More details on the MESA project and the current status can be found in [1–3].

The task of the diagnostic test-beam-line is to determine if the photo electron source (PES) can deliver a smaller normalized emittance ($\epsilon_n$) than the acceptance of the accelerator with a sufficient safety margin - for all $Q_b$ - which requires that $\epsilon_n \leq 1 \mu$m. For the operation of MESA the source should be reliable and deliver a high extractable charge with a long lifetime.

Semiconductor photo-cathodes have some properties that should be taken into account. When excited with photon energies close to the band gap energy it is possible to create spin polarized electrons with circular polarized photons. However, when operating in this mode one suffers from low quantum efficiency ($QE$) and reduced cathode lifetime. If high currents, but no spin-polarization, are desired it is advantageous to use higher photon energies, since the $QE$ is almost an order of magnitude larger and the lifetime is longer. At around 400 nm the photo-cathodes can have a $QE$ of 10% $\pm$ 32 mA/w. For higher photon energies not only the $QE$ increases but also the thermal emittance does. This is because of the fact, that the stimulated electrons have not enough time to thermalize while they are traveling through the semiconductor and end up with a wider energy distribution. This additional energy spread gets transferred into larger transverse momenta which leads to a larger thermal emittance [4].

The source in the diagnostic test-beam-line has delivered 700 C [5] within one charge-lifetime at average currents exceeding 1 mA. The experiment needs average current of $1(10)$ mA corresponding to an extracted charge of $3.6(36) C/h$. Therefore, the transmission from PES to target should be as big as possible, to allow long continuous runtimes. To achieve this requirement a RF-synchronized laser must excite photo-emission. We will capture the so-produced bunches by a harmonic buncher system which can accept bunches with an extension of about 160° [6]. This leads to the requirement that the emitted intensity - which is the convolution of the temporal laser intensity profile and the response of the photo-cathode - must fit into this interval. Fractions outside the interval may be suppressed by a chopper system to provide very clean operating conditions for MESA. In the setup described here one of the circular deflecting cavities which were developed for the chopper system of MESA is used as temporal diagnostic instrument - see below.

COMPONENTS

Beam Line

A schematic overview of the beam-line setup is given in Fig. 1. In the upper left side there is the dc photo gun with a load-lock system and a potential of $-100$ kV. After the excitation of the electrons by laser light they are accelerated in the vertical direction. 1 m downstream of the source the first analyzing stage (scanner 1) is placed followed by an $\alpha$-magnet which bends the electrons 270° from the vertical to the horizontal direction. Between the both $\alpha$-magnets the second analyzing stage (scanner 2) is mounted. Here the evolution of $\epsilon_n$ with respect to the position of scanner 1 can be studied. If the second $\alpha$-magnet is switched off investigations of the temporal distribution (TD) of the electron beam can be done with a deflecting cavity [7, 8] and a Ce:YAG screen. If the second $\alpha$-magnet is switched on the electrons can pass by the third analyzing stage (scanner 3) where it is possible to take a closer look to the beam halo with two perforated Ce:YAG screens. Behind scanner 3 there is a Wien-Filter for spin manipulation and a double scattering Mott polarimeter. This device is currently under test and promises to yield very precise polarization measurements [9] for the experiments (P2 [10] and MAGIX [11]) foreseen at MESA. It is, however, not relevant for the contents discussed here. All components between the source and the second $\alpha$-magnet/scanner 3 are UHV compatible and bakeable. There are focusing elements like quadrupoles (blue) and solenoids (green) as well as several steering magnets which are not shown in Fig. 1.

The laser system ($LS$) for unpolarized high average electron current is installed close to the source chamber to create a minimized beam spot on the photo-cathode.
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Laser System

The LS used for the presented results consists of two laser diodes (LD) with different wavelengths (λL) of 405 nm and 520 nm respectively and illuminates the cathode through a view-port on the bottom of the source chamber. A schematic sketch is illustrated in Fig. 1 (top right). At short distance after both LDs there is an anamorphic prism pair to compensate the astigmatism of the diodes. After the prism pair a dichroic mirror to combine both λL is installed, followed by a remotely controlled attenuator and shutter. The next element is a variable telescope to create different beam spot sizes (BSS) on the photo-cathode. The penultimate element is an uncoated beam splitter which couples out 3% of the laser power (P_L) and brings it onto a CCD-camera which works as virtual cathode to determine the BSS of the laser. The rest of P_L is reflected onto the photo-cathode via a mirror. An example of the laser spot shape at the waist is given in Fig. 2 for both λL. These 2D plots show the normalized intensity over the pixels of the camera which have a size of 6.6 μm.

The irregular patterns (in particular of the green LD) in Fig. 2 and the temporal distribution (at aspired Q_b) presented below in Fig. 8 illustrate the inferiority of LDs in comparison to a dedicated LS such as fiber based MOPAs [12]. However, for our purposes, these lasers, which are cheap and reliable, are adequate.

The LS can be operated in c.w. or in pulse train mode (PTM). For both modes the injection current of the laser diode driver (LDD) is superimposed by a RF power (P_RF) of 1.7 W with νRF = 1.3 GHz. P_RF can be adjusted by an attenuator to optimize the operating parameters to different LD types. In PTM the LDD is supplied by rectangular shaped pulses with a length of τ ≥ 300 μs and a repetition rate ν ≥ 5 Hz from a pulse generator. The PTM is used to minimize the thermal load on the screens with respect to high Q_b measurements. In the figures 5, 6 the Q_b is replaced by an equivalent average current which would occur in c.w. operation. Investigations with the deflecting cavity in the test-beam-line have clarified that the RF synchronized pulses within the pulse train are stable, which is depicted in Fig. 3. Here are taken four examples of the TD within a 300 μs long pulse train and it can be seen that the blue LD produces a double pulse with a RF phase length of ϑRF,b < 120° (left) and the green LD generates single pulses with ϑRF,g < 100° (right). Additional information on the LS can be found in [13].

The reason for the two different λL is to check the influence of it on εn and because both LDs show different pulsing behavior which are illustrated in Fig. 3 and thus, these measurements enables to consider the influence of the pulse shape on the εn growth for higher Q_b.

Scanner Devices

All three scanners have at least one Ce:YAG screen with a θ = 25 mm to optimize the beam trajectory and to make
emittance measurements by quadrupole scans. The electron facing side of the Ce:YAG screens is coated with Al to take the charge away and to avoid image distortion by charging of the surface. Scanner 1 and 2 also contain W wires with a $\theta = 40 \, \mu m$ for emittance measurements and to investigate the halo distribution because of the higher dynamic range of a PMT-scintillator setup in comparison to a CCD-camera. Furthermore, scanner 1 has two slit arrays which are oriented perpendicular to each other. They have a slit width of 25 $\mu m$ and a spacing of 250 $\mu m$ to make emittance measurements complementary to quad scan results. In scanner 2 the slits are replaced by a hole mask (pepper pot) with 21 x 21 holes with a $\theta = 25 \, \mu m$ and a spacing of 250 $\mu m$ in both directions. The purpose of scanner 3 is halo investigations and for that there are mounted two additional perforated Ce:YAG screens with a 2 mm or a 3 mm hole. Here the observing direction is the same as the incident electrons come from and thus, the screens are coated with ITO in addition.

**RESULTS**

**Quad Scan**

For the quad scan the focusing strength $k$ of one quadrupole is varied in small steps and the beam profile is obtained from a Ce:YAG screen with a CCD-camera. The dimensions of the BSSs are shown in Fig. 4 and in Fig. 5 are presented some results of $\epsilon_n$ for the blue $LD$ (left) and for the green one (right). The legends indicate the $I_e$ depending slope of $\epsilon_n$ and the used BSS. These results clarify a dependence on the BSS and show that the requirements for MESA stage-1 could be fulfilled with the medium spot size for both $LD$s.

**Slit Mask**

In the slit mask emittance measurement method small slices of the beam are cut-out. With the width, the displacement and the amplitude of each beamlet it is possible to reconstruct the phase-space distribution. More details of the slit mask method can be seen in [14]. Furthermore, with these parameters $\epsilon_n$ can be calculated. In Fig. 6 are introduced some results for the blue $LD$ (left) and for the green one (right). This data also represents the $1\sigma - \epsilon_n$.
emittance area. Our measurements (Fig. 6) seem to support this assumption.

Temporal Distribution

The deflecting cavity works with the MESA RF and is synchronized to the LS. Over the applied RF field in the cavity the beam is deflected circular and thus, the TD is transformed into a transverse one which can be observed with a CCD-camera and a Ce:YAG screen. The analyzed pictures are a superposition of many synchronous bunches and an example for a dc (left) and c.w. (right) beam of the LD is given in Fig. 7.

Due to the long drift space between the PES and the deflector (∼ 4.5 m) strong modifications of the TD by space-charge can be expected. Investigations have been done for both λp and for different Ie up to the mA region. Fig. 8 is representing a selection of TDs for both LDs and the corresponding Ie are indicated in the legends. The LDs used here are an easy means to demonstrate the performance of the analysis systems at the relevant Qb for MESA.

SUMMARY

The collected experience with the diagnostic test-beam-line have shown that it is a powerful tool to investigate the transverse εp and the TD for different bunch shapes up to the mA (∼ 1 pC/bunch) region. Investigations of the two transverse phase-spaces with quadrupole scan technique and the determination of the beam profile with a screen or with wires are possible. The beam-line gives the possibility of a cross check between quad scan and slit mask measurements.

The test-beam-line setup has a similar length compared to the one foreseen for MESA, where the necessities of spin manipulation increase the size by about 1.5 m. The presented results indicate that MESA stage-1 parameters can be achieved, whereas stage-2 parameters probably require a shorter distance between PES and injector (at the expense of spin manipulation flexibility) and/or a PES with larger accelerating gradient and potential.

REFERENCES

[1] R. Heine, ERL2015, Stony Brook, USA, WEIBLH1049
[2] T. Stengler, K. Aulenbacher et al., IPAC’16, Busan, Korea, WEPMB009
[12] D. G. Ozoumov et al., PAC07, Albuquerque, USA, MPOAS044